



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,405	01/18/2002	Michael John Branson	ROC919980274US2	2978
7590	11/09/2007		EXAMINER	
IBM Corporation			KHATRI, ANIL	
Intellectual Property Law, Dept. 917				
3605 Highway 52 North			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3605 Highway 52 North				
Rochester, MN 55901-7829			2193	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10051405	1/18/2002	BRANSON ET AL.	ROC919980274US2

EXAMINER

Andrew Caldwell

ART UNIT PAPER

2142 20070825

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

See attached.

On July 10, 2006, the Office mailed an Examiner Interview Summary in this application. The interview summary incorrectly stated that it was summarizing an interview held for this application, 10/051,405, when it was actually summarizing an interview held for application 10/051,406. Obviously, the examiner who prepared the interview summary entered the incorrect serial number when creating the interview summary form. The interview summary should not have been mailed in this application. The interview summary has no relevance to this application.

In order to remedy this situation, I have directed that the file containing the interview summary within USPTO's IFW (Image File Wrapper) system be closed and made non-public. Since this issue was brought to my attention by Andrew Caldwell, who is the supervisory patent examiner assigned to 10/051,406, I have asked him, rather than the examiner to whom the application was assigned, to take these actions on my behalf.

The file that I have directed to be closed and made non-public is:

Date	Doc Code	Description	Pages
7/10/06	EXIN	Examiner Interview Summary Record (PTOL - 413)	3

The USPTO regrets any inconvenience to the Applicant resulting from the mailing of the Examiner Interview Summary.

No response to this letter is required.



WENDY GARBER, DIRECTOR
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100